Effects of sunflower oil supplementation on performance, nutrient digestibility, rumen fermentation and blood metabolites in crossbred (Macheng Black x Boer) goats
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INTRODUCTION

Improved goat performance is very important in goat farms, including in China. It was affected by quantity and quality of diets. Sufficient energy supply is very important in the ruminant diets. The problems of energy supply in ruminants could be solved with dietary fat, for example supplementation of vegetable oil to the diets, including sunflower oil. Moreover, the dietary fat is low priced and easy to find (NRC, 2007; Adeyemi et al., 2016). In addition to increase energy supply, the addition of vegetable oil is used to serve as carriers and to facilitate the digestion process of fat-soluble ingredients (Palmquist, 1987) and ruminal acidosis prevention (Chilliard, 1993). Performance of goat was improved by supplementation of vegetable oil to the diets (Cozma et al. (2015); Adeyemi et al. (2016)). In 2014, vegetable oil production in China is approximately 22.52 million tons, included sunflower oil 299,848 tons (FAOSTAT, 2017). Sunflower oil is rich in linoleic and oleic which are unsaturated fatty acids (Roy et al., 2013). Although inclusion oil on dietary could increase energy density of the diets, increasing source of unsaturated fatty acids may alter microbial rumen (Hristov et al., 2013).

The inclusion of vegetable oil on ruminant diets (4-8% of dry matter (DM)) to commercial complete feed were used for the treatment arrangement. Every experiment period was done in three weeks and rested one week before the next period at the individual cages. Nutrient digestibility except ether extract (EE), protozoa counts and ammonia-N (NH3-N) concentration were decreased; molar proportions of volatile fatty acids (VFA) were changed; blood metabolites except cholesterol were not affected by sunflower oil supplementation. The inclusion of sunflower oil had no adverse effect on performance; thus improve dry matter intake (DMI), average daily gain (ADG), feed efficiency (FE) which S33 was better than S0 and S66. In conclusion, the best result was sunflower oil supplementation 33 g kg⁻¹ of DM (S33); because compared with control, improve ADG (31.79%) and FE (15.32%). Sunflower oil supplementation could improve performance by positively affecting the ruminal on rumen fermentation in crossbred (Boer x Macheng Black) female goats.
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In every experiment period (block), the external marker was used for the determination digestibility of nutrients, which prepared using chromic oxide (Cr₂O₃) as many as 0.3% of the diet. Treatments diet including an external marker was given on days 15 to 19. Rumen parameters, such as volatile fatty acids (VFA), ammonia-N (NH₃-N), pH and protozoa were measured by collecting rumen fluid of each animal which was performed before the morning feeding on day 20. Furthermore, the pH was determined immediately using a digital pH meter (Model Sartorius PB-10, Germany). Ciliate protozoa were counted by a 0.2-mm depth counting chamber (hemocytometer) with the method of the methylgreen-formalin-saline (MFS) solution (Ogimoto and Imai, 1981). NH₃-N concentration was analyzed by the phenol hypochlorite method (Chaney and Marbach, 1962). A gas chromatography (Model GC 2010, Shimadzu, Japan) was used to determine VFA as follows by Yang and Choong (2001). Ten mL blood was collected by jugular vein puncture in a test tube before the morning feeding on day 21 from each animal. In addition, the samples were analyzed for glucose, triglyceride, cholesterol, total protein (TP), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST) of serum by using standard test kits (DiaSys Diagnostic Systems) on an Automatic biochemical analyzer (Hitachi 7100, Japan). All parameters were done on 3 sub sampling in every experiment period.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rumen parameters

Table 1 shows rumen parameters of crossbreed (Boer x Macheng Black) female goats. Sunflower oil supplementation had effect on protozoa counts 

(P < 0.001), NH₃-N (P < 0.001), total VFA (P = 0.036). No differences in rumen pH (P = 0.122) and acetate: propionate (P = 0.171) were observed among levels of sunflower oil (S0, S33 and S66). Changes in the proportion of VFA and protozoa counts by sunflower oil supplementation could improve
acetate: propionate, although no significant. In our study, increased sunflower oil concentration could change rumen parameters and protozoa counts, except rumen pH. These results are in agreement with previous studies (Li et al., 2011; Shibata et al., 2011; Talebzadeh et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015; Ferreira et al., 2016) which using different type of oils and animals. Hartanto et al. (2017) reported that supplementation monensin-sunflower oil in goats could reduce the production of in vitro protozoa counts up to 75.47% compared to the control, also decrease NH$_3$-N but not affect microbial protein. In our study, NH$_3$-N concentration was decreased by sunflower oil supplementation, but still in the normal range for the synthesis of microbial protein by microbial rumen. McDonald et al. (2002) reported that concentrations of NH$_3$-N in rumen ranged from 8.5-30 mg 100mL$^{-1}$. In addition, the pattern of NH$_3$-N concentration was in line with digestibility of CP (Table 3).

Sunflower oil has high contents in unsaturated fatty acids (89%) and approximately 11% saturated fatty acids (NSA, 2017). Baah et al. (2007) and Szumacher-Strabel et al. (2009) stated that the addition of unsaturated fatty acids (i.e. linoleic acid) source could reduce protozoa population in the rumen. Holotrichs and cellulolytic protozoa have low tolerance with high dietary concentration of some fatty acids such as linoleate (Ivan et al., 2001). In the condition protozoa covering by oil, protozoa do not have lipolytic activity as well as bacteria, then metabolic activity of protozoa disrupted and many protozoa die in conditions of high fat in the rumen (Tamminga and Doreau, 1991). Consequently, the proportion of VFA was affected by this condition, because the principal end products of carbohydrate fermentation by protozoa are acetate and butyrate (Williams and Coleman, 1997). Besides that, a decrease in protozoa counts cause decreased symbiosis between ciliate protozoa with methanogens, then increasing the use of hydrogen to propionate formation by rumen bacteria (Hartanto et al., 2017), due to supplementation of sunflower oil.

**Animal performance and nutrient digestibility**

Data on performance of crossbreed (Boer x Macheng Black) female goats is presented in Table 2. DMI (g/d) was significantly (P = 0.033) affected by supplementation of sunflower oil; but it had no effect on DMI (g/kg DM), P = 0.485), ADG (P = 0.201) and FE (P = 0.223). Furthermore, the result of DMI (g/d) shows that S0 was different with S66, but both of supplementation was not different with S33. Apparent total tract digestibility of DM (P < 0.001), CP (P = 0.003), EE (P < 0.001), ADG (P = 0.007) and GE (P < 0.001) were affected by

---

Table 1: Rumen fermentation variables of crossbreed (Macheng Black x Boer) female goats fed commercial diets with various supplemental sunflower oil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed variables*</th>
<th>S, g kg$^{-1}$ DM**</th>
<th>SEM***</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protozoa (x 10$^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>26.67*</td>
<td>20.00*</td>
<td>10.67*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumen pH</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$-N (mg 100 mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>18.37*</td>
<td>16.05*</td>
<td>11.96*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total VFA (mM)</td>
<td>88.16*</td>
<td>102.75*</td>
<td>91.88*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>52.41*</td>
<td>61.96*</td>
<td>53.39*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate</td>
<td>23.89</td>
<td>27.44</td>
<td>27.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate : propionate</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NH$_3$-N: ammonia-N. VFA: volatile fatty acids. **S: sunflower oil supplementation (0, 33, 66 g kg$^{-1}$ DM), n=12 replicates. ***SEM: standard error of a mean. *Means within a row with different subscripts differ (P<0.05).

Table 2: Performance of crossbreed (Macheng Black x Boer) female goats fed commercial diets with various supplemental sunflower oil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed variables*</th>
<th>S, g kg$^{-1}$ DM**</th>
<th>SEM***</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial weight (kg)</td>
<td>21.09</td>
<td>21.84</td>
<td>22.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM I (g d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>837.61</td>
<td>935.28</td>
<td>971.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g kg$^{-1}$)DM)</td>
<td>85.11</td>
<td>92.58</td>
<td>93.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG (g d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>103.39</td>
<td>136.26</td>
<td>116.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE (G/F)</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DM: dry matter intake. ADG: average daily gain. FE (G/F): feed efficiency (gain/feed). **S: sunflower oil supplementation (0, 33, 66 g kg$^{-1}$ DM), n=12 replicates. ***SEM: standard error of a mean. *Means within a row with different subscripts differ (P<0.05).

Table 3: Apparent total tract digestibility of crossbreed (Macheng Black x Boer) female goats fed commercial diets with various supplemental sunflower oil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digestibility (%)*</th>
<th>S, g kg$^{-1}$ DM**</th>
<th>SEM***</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>60.52*</td>
<td>59.50*</td>
<td>55.89*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>72.90*</td>
<td>70.70*</td>
<td>69.76*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>75.41*</td>
<td>82.10*</td>
<td>84.26*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
<td>52.17</td>
<td>54.71</td>
<td>53.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>47.17*</td>
<td>47.81*</td>
<td>43.12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE</td>
<td>58.13*</td>
<td>58.20*</td>
<td>53.66*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DM: dry matter. CP: crude protein. EE: ether extract. NDF: neutral detergent fiber. ADF: acid detergent fiber. GE: gross energy. **S: sunflower oil supplementation (0, 33, 66 g kg$^{-1}$ DM), n=12 replicates. ***SEM: standard error of a mean. *Means within a row with different subscripts differ (P<0.05).
sunflower oil supplementation, wherein S66 was lower than S0 and S33. Sunflower oil supplementation did not affect (P = 0.137) digestibility of NDF. Data on apparent total tract digestibility is presented in Table 3.

Our results on nutrient digestibility have similarity with Bhatt et al. (2011), Blanco et al. (2014) and Cleef et al. (2016). Except digestibility of EE, another nutrient digestibility (DM, CP, NDF, ADF and GE) was reduced by increasing level of sunflower oil, and this effect was more significant by adding S66 in the ration. Bhatt et al. (2011) stated that a reduction in fiber digestion occurred concomitant with a reduction in protozoa counts. 30% of the total microbial fiber digestions in the rumen are protozoa (Demeyer, 1981). McGinn et al. (2004) reported that decreased fiber digestion due to added sunflower oil 5% DMI of beef cattle. In the rumen, the process of hydrogenation of the unsaturated fatty acids may be related to that condition. Unsaturated fatty acids will accumulate when the ability of microorganisms to saturate fatty acids is exceeded. Then this condition will interfere on microbial digestion (NRC, 2001; McGinn et al., 2004).

In our study, DMI and digestibility of EE were increased by sunflower oil supplementation. Therefore, the decrease in fiber digestion was offset by the additional digestible energy supplied by the sunflower oil. Thus, total energy intake was not affected by the decrease in nutrient digestibility. Almost all nutrient digestibilities were not different between S0 and S33, but S66 was lower than both treatments. Moreover, sunflower oil supplementation could increase total VFA in the rumen as energy source of ruminants, although Pilajun and Wanapat (2011) reported that shift in the VFA profile was associated with fiber digestion. That condition cause sunflower oil supplementation could improve ADG and FE, although not significant. That means sunflower oil could be used as energy supply in goat diets. The inclusion of sunflower oil 33 g kg⁻¹ DM (S33) could improve ADG 31.79% and FE 15.32%, compared S0; then improve ADG 16.50% and FE 20.17%, compared S66. Therefore, the result of S33 was better than S0 and S66. Furthermore, S33 which increases the fat content of the diet up to 5.13% is in agreement with Hristov et al. (2013) recommended that the economic feasibility of dietary fat will achieved if maximum inclusion rate in ruminant diets is 6 to 7% (total fat) of dietary DM.

The lack of the effect of sunflower oil on DMI is not consistent with the results of McGinn et al. (2004) and Wanapat et al. (2011), who found that DMI was decreased by the addition of sunflower oil 5-6% of DMI of beef cattle and growing swamp buffaloes, respectively. Addition of soybean oil 3% had no effect on DMI and ADG of lambs (Mao et al., 2010; Peng et al., 2016). However, Abubakr et al. (2013) reported that DMI, ADG and FE of goats were increased with addition of palm oil (5% of DMI). FE of female goats in this study is consistent with results of Al-Shorepy and Alhadramy (2008), who found that FE of Female Emirati goats fed Distichlis grass was 0.129.

### Blood metabolites

Table 4 shows blood metabolites or blood biochemical parameters of crossbreed (Macheng Black x Boer) female goats. No differences were observed among levels of sunflower oil on serum concentrations of glucose (P = 0.244), triglyceride (P = 0.068), total protein (P = 0.095), BUN (P = 0.405), AST (P = 0.126) and ALT (P = 0.204). Furthermore, sunflower oil supplementation increased cholesterol concentration (P < 0.001), wherein S66 was higher than S0 and S33. However S33 was not different with S0.

In the present study, sunflower oil supplementation increased cholesterol and had no negative effect in other blood metabolites. Similarly with this result, Pilajun and Wanapat (2011) reported that supplementation of coconut oil 50 g Kg⁻¹ of diet DM had no effect on blood urea nitrogen of swamp buffalo. Supplementation of palm oil until 16% in a concentrate of Red Sokoto goats had no effect on serum glucose and cholesterol, but increased blood urea nitrogen (Otaru et al., 2011). Furthermore, Cozma et al. (2015) reported that supplementation of hemp seed oil (93 g day⁻¹ or 4.7% of DMI) in Carpathian goats had no effect on triglyceride, plasma cholesterol, phospholipid concentrations, and the activities of γ-glutamyltransferase or alanine aminotransferase, but increase concentration of plasma total lipid. In generally, lipid supplementation could increase plasma lipid fractions such as plasma triglycerides or phospholipids (Bernard et al. 2009; Li et al. 2012). In our study, increased level of sunflower oil could increase intake of nutrients including EE. Therefore, an increase in the digestibility of EE cause increased cholesterol of
serum. This fact is also verified by Beynen et al. (2000) in the goats were fed the diets containing either olive or palm oil, with cholesterol of serum 1.69-2.71 mmol L\(^{-1}\). In present study, the results of blood metabolites show that no effect on female goats healthy and Bianci et al. (2014) stated that increased cholesterol levels have a positive correlation with progesterone levels, and then may lead to greater reproductive hormone synthesis.

The ALT and AST could use for evaluation of liver function because belonging of the most sensitive enzymes in the hepatocellular injury diagnosis (Pechová et al., 2006; Tibbo et al. 2008). In the cytoplasm of hepatocytes, there is a transaminase enzyme present in high concentrations called ALT (Cozma et al., 2015). In our study, sunflower oil supplementation had no effect on ALT and AST, and then the values of ALT and AST were close to the value ranges 15.3−25.0 IU L\(^{-1}\) for ALT (Tibbo et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2016) and 50.0−98.2 IU L\(^{-1}\) for AST (Taghipoor et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016). It indicates if there was not hepatocellular injury on goat that gets sunflower oil supplementation in this study.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Results from the current study indicated that sunflower oil supplementation could decrease protozoa counts and had no adverse effect on performance, nutrient digestibility, rumen fermentability and blood biochemical parameters. Whereas the best result of ADG and FE are from inclusion sunflower oil 33 g kg\(^{-1}\) of DM (S33). Sunflower oil supplementation could improve performance by positively affecting the ruminal on rumen fermentation in crossbred (Boer x Macheng Black) female goats.
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